

PRACTICE KIT #3 Common Objections & Hearsay July 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Common Objections List
- 2. Common Objections Chart
- 3. Hearsay Chart
- 4. A Child's Voice- Navigating Child Witness Testimony
- 5. Admissibility of Expert Testimony-FRE 702
- 6. Federal Rules of Evidence and Important D.C. Case Law on Common Objections and Hearsay
 - a. Hearsay
 - i Nicholson v. U.S., 368 A.2d 561 (D.C. 1977) (excited utterance)
 - ii Galindo v. U.S., 630 A.2d 202 (D.C. 1993) (medical diagnosis)
 - iii Clyburn v. D.C., 741 A.2d 395 (D.C. 1999) (business records)
 - iv In re Ca.S., 828 A.2d 184 (D.C. 2003) (opinion; medical diagnosis)
 - v In re Kya. B., 857 A.2d 465 (D.C. 2004) (medical diagnosis)
 - vi <u>Wilson v. U.S.</u>, 995 A.2d 174 (D.C. 2010) (statement of a party opponent)
 - vii In re K.J., 11 A.3d 273 (D.C. 2011) (admission of a party opponent)
 - viii <u>Melendez v. U.S.</u>, 26 A.3d 234 (D.C. 2011) (*excited utterance*)
 - ix Jones v. U.S., 17 A.3d 628 (D.C. 2011) (state of mind)
 - x Brown v. U.S., 27 A.3d 127 (D.C. 2011) (excited utterance)
 - xi Castillo v. U.S., 75 A.3d 157 (D.C. 2013) (excited utterance)
 - xii <u>Bridges v. Clark</u>, 59 A.3d 978 (D.C. 2013) (admission of party opponent)
 - xiii <u>Holmes v. U.S.</u>, 92 A.3d 328 (D.C. 2014) (definition of "statement" for hearsay purposes)
 - xiv Mayhand v. U.S., 127 A.3d 1198 (D.C. 2015) (excited utterance)
 - b. Opinion
 - i In re Melton, 597 A.2d 892 (D.C. 1991) (opinion)
 - ii Jones v. U.S., 990 A.2d 970 (D.C. 2010) (expert opinion)
 - iii In re A.B., 999 A.2d 36 (D.C. 2010) (expert opinion)

- iv <u>In re M.L.</u>, 28 A.3d 520 (D.C. 2011) (expert opinion; physician-patient privilege)
- v Nelson v. U.S., 55 A.3d 389 (D.C. 2012) (opinion of a lay witness)
- vi King v. U.S., 74 A.3d 678 (D.C. 2013 (expert opinion)
- vii <u>In re L.C.</u>, 92 A.3d 290 (D.C. 2014) (expert testimony; relevance)
- c. Other Evidentiary Issues
 - i <u>Drew v. U.S.</u>, 331 F.2d 85 (D.C. 1964) (character evidence)
 - ii <u>Giles v. U.S.</u>, 548 A.2d 48 (D.C. 1988) (foundation/authentication)
 - iii <u>Henderson v. George Wash. Univ.</u>, 449 F.3d 127 (D.C. 2006) (*prejudice outweighs probative value*)
 - iv <u>Motorola Inc., v. Murray</u>, 147 A.3d 751 (D.C. 2016) (*qualifying expert witnesses*)